

Remarks

The Office Action dated November 1, 2002 has been carefully reviewed and the foregoing amendment has been made in consequence thereof.

Subsequent to entry of this amendment, Claims 1-16 are pending in this application. Claims 1-4, 6-12, and 14-16 stand rejected. Claims 5 and 13 are withdrawn from consideration.

Submitted herewith is a Submission Of Marked Up Claims in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(c)(1)(ii).

The rejection of Claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph is respectfully traversed.

→ Independent Claims 1 and 9 have been amended to delete the phrases referred to in the Office Action. Accordingly, Applicant submits that Claims 1-16 contain subject matter described in the specification in such clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the invention, and meet the requirements of Section 112, first paragraph

For the reasons set forth above, Applicant respectfully requests that the Section 112, first paragraph, rejection of Claims 1-16 be withdrawn.

The rejection of Claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph is respectfully traversed.

Independent Claims 1 and 9 have been amended to delete the phrases referred to in the Office Action. Accordingly, Applicant submits that Claims 1-16 are definite and particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that Applicant regards as his invention.

For the reasons set forth above, Applicant respectfully requests that the Section 112, second paragraph, rejection of Claims 1-16 be withdrawn.

The rejection of Claims 1-4, 6, and 9-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dalke et al. (U.S. 5,519,746) is respectfully traversed.

Dalke et al. describe a fuel bundle assembly for a boiling water nuclear reactor (see Figures 4 and 5) that includes an open ended tubular channel subdivided into four quadrants by at least two interior partitions, each quadrant having a sub-fuel bundle assembly having a plurality of fuel rods extending between upper and lower tie plates. An inter-bundle support plate 40 receives a lower end of the channel and has four flow openings 54 at an upper end thereof. The lower tie plate 50 of each sub-fuel bundle is supported in a respective one of the openings 54 in the inter-bundle support plate 40. A single inlet opening 62 is located in the inter-bundle support plate 40. The four flow openings 54 are offset relative to the centerline of inlet opening 62. The sub-fuel bundles within a channel are separated by a cruciform shaped coolant passage. Inter-bundle support plate 40 is supported on a cup 42 fixed to the top of core plate P. Utilizing handles 90 attached to the upper end of the open ended tubular channel 16, the entire fuel bundle assembly 14, including the channel 16, the four sub-fuel assemblies 26 and the support plate 40 can be lifted from the support cup 44 during refuel or repair procedures (see, Col. 5, lines 8-23 and Figures 1, 3 and 5). ✓

Claim 1 of the present application recites a core plate assembly that includes a flat plate and a plurality of support beams with the flat plate positioned on top of the support beams. The assembly also includes a plurality of fuel supports extending through the flat plate. Each fuel support includes a coolant flow inlet, a coolant flow outlet sized to receive the lower tie plate of

a fuel bundle, and a coolant flow bore extending between the coolant flow inlet and the coolant flow outlet. The coolant flow inlet is offset from the coolant flow outlet so that a centerline of the coolant flow inlet is parallel to a centerline of the coolant flow outlet. ✓

Claim 9 of the present application recites a core for a nuclear reactor that includes a core plate assembly that includes a flat plate and a plurality of support beams with the flat plate

→ positioned on top of the support beams. The assembly also includes a plurality of fuel supports ← extending through the flat plate. Each fuel support includes a coolant flow inlet, a coolant flow outlet sized to receive the lower tie plate of a fuel bundle, and a coolant flow bore extending between the coolant flow inlet and the coolant flow outlet. The coolant flow inlet is offset from the coolant flow outlet so that a centerline of the coolant flow inlet is parallel to a centerline of the coolant flow outlet. ✓

Applicant respectfully submits that the Section 103 rejection of the presently pending claims is not a proper rejection. As is well established, obviousness cannot be established by modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention, absent some teaching, suggestions or incentive supporting the modification]. In addition, it is impermissible to use the claimed invention as an instruction manual or "template" to piece together the teachings of the prior art so that the claimed invention is rendered obvious. ✓ Specifically, it is impermissible to pick and choose from any one reference only so much of it as will support a given position, to the exclusion of other parts necessary to the full appreciation of what such reference fairly suggests to one of ordinary skill in the art. ✓ In this case, the Office Action suggests that it would have been obvious to form the inter-bundle support plate 40 and the support cup 42 as a single support unit. ✓ Applicant disagrees with this suggestion because Dalke et al. clearly describes at

Col. 4, lines 44-47, that the cup 42 is fixed to the core plate P and clearly describes at Col. 5, lines 18-22, that the entire assembly 14 is lifted from the support cup 42 during refuel and repair procedures (see also Figure 5). ✓ Applicants submit that forming the inter-bundle support plate 40 and the support cup 42 as a single unit would prevent the fuel assembly from being lifted from the support cup as described and shown by Dalke et al. Also it would prevent the fuel assembly from being removed from the reactor because the support cup is fixed to the core plate P. Accordingly, Applicant submits that it would not be obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Dalke et al. as suggested in the Office Action.

Also, Applicant submits that Dalke et al. do not describe nor suggest a core plate assembly as recited in Claim 1 nor a core for a nuclear reactor as recited in Claim 9. Particularly, Dalke et al. do not describe nor suggest a plurality of fuel supports extending through the flat core plate with each fuel support including a coolant flow inlet, a coolant flow outlet sized to receive the lower tie plate of a fuel bundle, and a coolant flow bore extending between the coolant flow inlet and the coolant flow outlet such that the coolant flow inlet is offset from the coolant flow outlet. Rather, Dalke et al. describe a fuel assembly that is supported by a cup attached to the core plate. The cup has a coolant flow inlet, a coolant flow outlet, and a coolant flow bore extending between the coolant flow inlet and the coolant flow outlet. However, the coolant flow inlet and the coolant flow outlet are not offset from each other. Dalke et al. describe an inter-bundle support plate that includes a single inlet and four flow outlets that are offset from the inlet. But, Dalke et al. does not describe nor suggest that the inter-bundle support plate extends through the core plate. Rather, Dalke et al. describe that the inter-bundle support plate is supported by the cup (see Figures 4 and 5, and Col. 4, lines 44-55).

Also, as shown in Figure 3, it appears that the inter-bundle support plate is part of the fuel assembly and not part of the core plate assembly.

Further, Dalke et al. does not describe nor suggest a plurality of support beams with the core plate P positioned on top of the support beams. The Office Action suggests that the support cups 42 are "support beam type structures on top of the said plates". Applicant submits that if the support cups were the equivalent of support beams, the support cups are fixed to the top of the core plate and that the core plate is not positioned on top of these support cups. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 1 and 9 are patentable over Dalke et al.

Claims 2-4 and 6 depend from independent Claim 1 and Claims 10-12 depend from independent Claim 9. When the recitations of dependent Claims 2-4 and 6, and Claims 10-12 are considered in combination with the recitations of Claims 1 and 9 respectively, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 2-4 6, and 10-12 likewise are patentable over Dalke et al.

For the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully request that the Section 103(a) rejection of Claims 1-4, 6, and 9-12 be withdrawn.

The rejection of Claims 7, 8, 15, and 16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dalke et al. in view of Hirukawa (U.S. 5,267,286) is respectfully traversed.

As explained above Dalke et al. do not describe nor suggest a core plate assembly as recited in Claim 1 nor a core for a nuclear reactor as recited in Claim 9. Accordingly, independent Claims 1 and 9 are patentable over Dalke et al.

Hirukawa describe a fuel assembly having a water cross or water rod arranged between the fuel rods, an inside of the water cross or water rod being divided into a coolant rising passage and a coolant lowering passage, and a control guide tube disposed inside the water cross or water

rod and extending along an axial direction of the water cross or water rod. The coolant rising passage has a coolant inlet port formed to a portion above or under a portion at which the fuel rods are supported by the lower tie plate. The control element guide tube has a coolant outlet port formed at that portion so that a coolant introduced into the coolant rising passage flows vertically upwardly, then turns and flows downwardly along the control element guide tube, and flows into an inside thereof through the coolant outlet port. ✓

Hirukawa does not describe nor suggest a plurality of fuel supports extending through the flat core plate with each fuel support including a coolant flow inlet, a coolant flow outlet sized to receive the lower tie plate of a fuel bundle, and a coolant flow bore extending between the coolant flow inlet and the coolant flow outlet such that the coolant flow inlet is offset from the coolant flow outlet. Rather, Hirukawa describes fuel assembly nozzle 18 that includes a single inlet and four outlets. Fuel assembly nozzle attach to a fuel support that is inserted into a control rod drive housing.

Applicant submits that Dalke et al. and Hirukawa, alone or in combination, do not describe nor suggest a core plate assembly as recited in Claim 1 nor a core for a nuclear reactor as recited in Claim 9. Particularly, Dalke et al. and Hirukawa, alone or in combination, do not describe nor suggest a plurality of fuel supports extending through the flat core plate with each fuel support including a coolant flow inlet, a coolant flow outlet sized to receive the lower tie plate of a fuel bundle, and a coolant flow bore extending between the coolant flow inlet and the coolant flow outlet such that the coolant flow inlet is offset from the coolant flow outlet. Further, Dalke et al. and Hirukawa, alone or in combination, do not describe nor suggest a plurality of support beams with the flat core plate positioned on top of the support beams.

Accordingly, Applicant submits that Claims 1 and 9 is patentable over Dalke et al. and Hirukawa, alone or in combination. ✓

Claims 7 and 8 depend from independent Claim 1 and Claims 15 and 16 depend from independent Claim 9. When the recitations of Claims 7 and 8 and Claims 15 and 16 are considered in combination with the recitations of Claims 1 and 9 respectively, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 7, 8, 15, and 16 likewise are patentable over Dalke et al. and Hirukawa, alone or in combination. ✓

For the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully request that the Section 103(a) rejection of Claims 7, 8, 15, and 16 be withdrawn. ✓

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, all the claims now active in this application are believed to be in condition for allowance. Favorable action is respectfully solicited. ✓

Respectfully submitted,



Michael Tersillo
Registration No. 42,180
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600
St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2740
(314) 621-5070



24-AT-5990
PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Chalberg

Serial No.: 09/692,135

Filed: October 19, 2000

For: CORE SUPPORT FOR AN F-LATTICE
CORE OF A BOILING WATER
NUCLEAR REACTOR

Art Unit: 3641

Examiner: J. Richardson

RECEIVED

JAN 13 2003

GROUP 3600

SUBMISSION OF MARKED UP CLAIMS

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

A marked-up version of amended Claims 1 and 9, in accordance with 37 C.F.R.
§ 1.121(c)(1)(ii), follows below.

MARKED UP CLAIMS

1. (twice amended) A core plate assembly for a nuclear reactor, the reactor comprising

→ a plurality of [large] control rods, a plurality of cruciform shaped control rod guide tubes, and a
plurality of fuel bundles having lower tie plates, said core plate assembly comprising:

a flat plate;

a plurality of support beams, said flat plate positioned on top of said support beams;

a plurality of control rod guide tube openings, each said guide tube opening sized to
receive a control rod guide tube[, said control rod guide tube openings arranged in staggered
rows]; and

a plurality of fuel supports extending through said flat plate, each said fuel support
comprising:

a coolant flow inlet;
a coolant flow outlet sized to receive the lower tie plate of a fuel bundle; and
a coolant flow bore extending between said coolant flow inlet and said coolant flow outlet, said coolant flow inlet offset from said coolant flow outlet so that a centerline of said coolant flow inlet is parallel to a centerline of said coolant flow outlet.

9. (twice amended) A core for a nuclear reactor comprising:
a plurality of fuel bundles, each fuel bundle comprising a lower tie plate;
a plurality of cruciform shaped [large] control rods; ←
a plurality of cruciform shaped control rod guide tubes; and
a core plate assembly comprising:
a flat plate;
a plurality of support beams, said flat plate positioned on top of said support beams;
a plurality of control rod guide tube openings, each said guide tube opening sized to receive a control rod guide tube[, said control rod guide tube openings arranged in staggered ←
rows]; and
a plurality of fuel supports extending through said flat plate, each said fuel support comprising:
a coolant flow inlet;
a coolant flow outlet sized to receive the lower tie plate of a fuel bundle; and
a coolant flow bore extending between said coolant flow inlet and said coolant flow outlet, said coolant flow inlet offset from said coolant flow outlet so that a centerline of said coolant flow inlet is parallel to a centerline of said coolant flow outlet.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Michael Tersillo".

Michael Tersillo
Registration No. 42,180
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600
St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2740
(314) 621-5070